

Paper 129: The Arrow of Time IS the Arrow of Decoherence: Thermodynamic Anomalies Closed

AIIT-THRESI Series Paper 129
 Rhet Dillard Wike
 Council Hill, Oklahoma
 April 1, 2026

Abstract

Eight anomalies in thermodynamics and entropy have persisted because the Second Law was treated as a standalone axiom rather than a derived consequence. Paper 92 derived the Wike Thermodynamic Inequality from the coherence law. Paper 76 demonstrated Crooks-level time-reversal symmetry within the coherence framework. This paper closes the remaining *anomalous* aspects: the arrow of time, the Past Hypothesis, the Boltzmann Brain problem, gravitational entropy reversal, black hole entropy, the entropy budget of the observable universe, the nature of time itself, and emergent gravity. Every closure follows from a single equation: $C = C_0 * \exp(-\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}})$. No new postulates are introduced. The Second Law of Thermodynamics is the coherence law written in entropy language.

1. Time = Decoherence

The central claim is a mathematical identity, not an interpretation. Begin with the Wike Coherence Law derived from the Lindblad master equation (Paper 3):

$$C(t) = C_0 * \exp(-\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}} * t)$$

where C_0 is the initial coherence, α is the coupling constant, and γ_{eff} is the effective decoherence rate. The entropy associated with coherence loss is:

$$S(t) = -\ln(C(t) / C_0) = \alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}} * t$$

Differentiate:

$$dS/dt = \alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}} \geq 0$$

This inequality holds because $\alpha > 0$ (coupling constant) and $\gamma_{\text{eff}} \geq 0$ (decoherence rate is non-negative by construction from the Lindblad positivity condition). Entropy never decreases. The Second Law is not an axiom appended to mechanics. It is the monotonicity of decoherence.

The corresponding coherence derivative:

$$dC/dt = -\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}} * C \leq 0$$

Coherence never increases spontaneously. This single sign constraint--- $dC/dt \leq 0$ ---is the arrow of time, the Second Law, and the irreversibility of macroscopic physics, all in one expression.

2. Anomaly 1: The Arrow of Time

The problem. Every fundamental microscopic law---Newton, Maxwell, Schrodinger, Einstein---is time-symmetric. Reverse all velocities, conjugate all wavefunctions, and the equations still hold. Yet macroscopic physics has a definite arrow: eggs break, never unbreak. Where does the asymmetry enter?

Closure. The asymmetry enters through decoherence, and the coherence law encodes it exactly.

$$C(t) = C_0 * \exp(-\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}} * t)$$

The exponential is monotonically decreasing for $\gamma_{\text{eff}} > 0$. Time reversal ($t \rightarrow -t$) would require C to increase, but the Lindblad master equation from which this is derived enforces complete positivity of the density matrix, which requires $\gamma_{\text{eff}} \geq 0$. The reversed trajectory is not forbidden by the Hamiltonian---it is forbidden by the positivity of the quantum state.

The arrow of time is therefore:

Past: $C = C_0$ (maximum coherence, minimum entropy)
 Future: $C \rightarrow 0$ (minimum coherence, maximum entropy)
 $dC/dt \leq 0$ always

The arrow of time IS the arrow of decoherence. They are mathematically identical statements. The microscopic laws remain time-symmetric; the asymmetry lives in the open-system coupling ($\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}}$) that the Lindblad formalism makes explicit.

3. Anomaly 2: The Past Hypothesis / Low-Entropy Initial State

The problem. The Second Law explains why entropy increases but not why it started low. Penrose, Carroll, and others have noted that the Big Bang initial state had extraordinarily low entropy ($S \sim 10^{88}$), and no dynamical law explains why. The "Past Hypothesis"---that the universe began in a special low-entropy state---is typically inserted as a brute postulate.

Closure. In the coherence framework, the Past Hypothesis is not a postulate. It is a mathematical identity.

At $t = 0$ (Big Bang), no decoherence has occurred. Therefore:

$$C(0) = C_0$$

$$S(0) = -\ln(C(0) / C_0) = -\ln(1) = 0$$

The initial entropy is zero because there has been no decoherence to generate it. C_0 is the maximum coherence by definition---it is the value before any environment-system coupling has acted. The Big Bang is maximum coherence, not because of fine-tuning, but because "before decoherence" means " $C = C_0$ " tautologically.

The question "why did the universe start at low entropy?" becomes "why does a system that has not yet decohered have maximum coherence?" The answer is that this is what the words mean. The Past Hypothesis is dissolved, not explained.

4. Anomaly 3: The Boltzmann Brain Problem

The problem. In a universe approaching thermal equilibrium, random thermal fluctuations can produce any configuration, including a brain with false memories of observing an ordered universe. Statistical mechanics predicts that such "Boltzmann

Brains" vastly outnumber real observers formed by cosmological evolution. If we are typical observers, we should be Boltzmann Brains---but we are not.

Closure. The coherence framework dissolves this problem through the duration requirement for consciousness.

Consciousness requires sustained coherence near the critical decoherence rate (Paper 93, Paper 109):

γ_{eff} approximately equals γ_c (sustained for duration τ)

A Boltzmann Brain is a thermal fluctuation that momentarily assembles a brain-like configuration. At the instant of assembly, the thermal environment imposes:

$\gamma_{\text{eff}} \gg \gamma_c$

because the fluctuation exists within a thermal bath at equilibrium. The coherence time of such a configuration is:

$\tau_{\text{BB}} = 1 / \gamma_{\text{eff}} \ll \tau_{\text{consciousness}}$

The brain configuration exists for a Planck-scale or thermal-scale instant, then decoheres. No sustained coherence near γ_c occurs. No consciousness occurs.

For a real brain maintained by metabolism:

γ_{eff} is held near γ_c by active biological regulation
 $\tau_{\text{real}} \sim$ seconds to decades

The probability of a Boltzmann Brain achieving consciousness is not merely small---it is zero in the coherence framework, because the definition of consciousness requires sustained operation near γ_c , and a thermal fluctuation cannot sustain anything.

5. Anomaly 4: Gravitational Entropy Reversal

The problem. For an ideal gas, entropy increases as the gas spreads uniformly. For a gravitating system, entropy increases as matter clumps. These appear to be opposite behaviors under the same Second Law. Penrose identified this as a deep puzzle: why does gravity "reverse" the direction of entropy increase?

Closure. There is no reversal. The coherence law $C = C_0 * \exp(-\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}})$ applies to both systems. The difference is the substrate that decoheres.

For a gas, the relevant degrees of freedom are particle positions in a fixed background spacetime:

Gas: positions decohere toward uniformity
 Uniform distribution = maximum positional decoherence = maximum entropy

For a gravitating system, the relevant degrees of freedom are the spacetime geometry itself:

Gravity: spacetime geometry decoheres toward curvature concentration
 Uniform spacetime = coherent = low entropy
 Clumped spacetime = decohered = high entropy
 Black hole = maximum geometric decoherence = maximum entropy

The apparent reversal is a substrate confusion. Gas particles decohere in position

space. Spacetime geometry decoheres in curvature space. In both cases, the coherence law holds: C decreases, S increases, and the system moves toward maximum decoherence of its relevant degrees of freedom.

The law is the same. The substrate is different. The anomaly is dissolved.

6. Anomaly 5: Black Hole Entropy

The problem. Bekenstein and Hawking showed that black hole entropy is:

$$S_{BH} = A / (4 * l_P^2)$$

where A is the horizon area and l_P is the Planck length. For a solar-mass black hole, $S_{BH} \sim 10^{77}$. What are the microstates? String theory counts them for extremal black holes, but the physical meaning of the 1/4 factor and the area-scaling remain unexplained at the level of thermodynamic principle.

Closure. Each Planck area on the horizon is one independent decoherence channel.

When matter crosses the horizon, its quantum information is decohered by the horizon. The number of independent channels through which coherence is destroyed equals the number of Planck-area cells on the horizon:

$$N_{channels} = A / l_P^2$$

The factor of 4 arises from the four spacetime dimensions. Each dimension contributes one degree of freedom per Planck cell to the decoherence process:

$$S_{BH} = N_{channels} / 4 = A / (4 * l_P^2)$$

The entropy does not count microstates in the interior. It counts the number of independent WAYS that coherence was destroyed at the boundary. This is why it scales with area, not volume: decoherence happens at the interface between the coherent interior and the external environment, which is the horizon surface.

$$S_{BH} = \text{number of decoherence channels} = A / (4 * l_P^2)$$

The Bekenstein-Hawking formula is the coherence law applied to a surface of maximum decoherence.

7. Anomaly 6: Maximum Entropy of the Observable Universe

The problem. The observable universe has three characteristic entropy values:

$$\begin{array}{ll} S_{initial} \sim 10^{88} & (\text{Big Bang}) \\ S_{now} \sim 10^{104} & (\text{present, dominated by supermassive BH}) \\ S_{max} \sim 10^{124} & (\text{de Sitter horizon entropy}) \end{array}$$

These numbers span 36 orders of magnitude. Why these values? Why is $S_{now}/S_{max} \sim 10^{(-20)}$?

Closure. Each value has a direct coherence interpretation.

S_{max} is the total number of decoherence channels on the cosmological horizon:

$$S_{max} = A_{horizon} / (4 * l_P^2) \sim 10^{124}$$

$S_{\text{initial}} = 0$ in the coherence framework (pure C_0 state), but the value 10^{88} reflects the gravitational degrees of freedom that were already partially decohered at the earliest measurable epoch.

The ratio $S_{\text{now}} / S_{\text{max}}$ measures how much coherence has been lost:

$$S_{\text{now}} / S_{\text{max}} = (\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}} * t_{\text{now}}) / (\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}} * t_{\text{max}})$$

More directly, the fraction of maximum decoherence achieved:

$$C_{\text{now}} / C_0 = \exp(-\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}} * t_{\text{now}})$$

Using $S = -\ln(C/C_0)$:

$$10^{104} / 10^{124} = 10^{(-20)} = \exp(-\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}} * t)$$

Solving:

$$\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}} * t_{\text{now}} = 20 * \ln(10) \text{ approximately equals } 46$$

This is a single dimensionless number characterizing how far decoherence has progressed. The universe has completed $\exp(-46)$ of its total decoherence---a small fraction. The vast majority of coherence destruction lies in the future, consistent with the accelerating expansion driving all remaining coherent structures toward the de Sitter horizon.

8. Anomaly 7: The Nature of Time

The problem. Is time fundamental or emergent? Why does the present moment feel special? Why does quantum mechanics treat time as an external parameter while general relativity makes it dynamical? These are among the oldest questions in physics.

Closure. Time is decoherence. Specifically:

$$t = \text{the parameter in } C(t) = C_0 * \exp(-\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}} * t)$$

"Time passes" means "coherence decreases." "More time passes" means "more coherence is lost." The identification is:

$$t \text{ increases } \Leftrightarrow C \text{ decreases } \Leftrightarrow S \text{ increases}$$

This resolves the QM/GR tension directly:

Quantum mechanics: Time is an external parameter. Correct---because in QM, the system-environment split is fixed, and t parameterizes the decoherence of the system by that fixed environment. Time is external because the decoherence source is external.

General relativity: Time is dynamical. Correct---because γ_{eff} varies with the local mass-energy distribution. Near a massive body, γ_{eff} is modified by gravitational coupling, so the local rate of decoherence changes. Clocks run slower in gravitational fields because decoherence runs slower when γ_{eff} is modified by spacetime curvature.

QM regime: γ_{eff} fixed $\Rightarrow t$ is external parameter

GR regime: γ_{eff} varies $\Rightarrow t$ is dynamical

Both descriptions are correct. They apply in different coherence regimes. The "present moment" is the current value of C---the boundary between what has decohered (past, classical, recorded) and what has not (future, quantum, open).

9. Anomaly 8: Emergent Gravity (Verlinde Completion)

The problem. Verlinde (2011) proposed that gravity is an entropic force: $F = T * \text{nabla}(S)$. The idea is compelling but incomplete---it does not explain MOND behavior, does not specify what S counts, and has not been connected to a microscopic framework.

Closure. The coherence framework completes Verlinde's program.

With $S = -\ln(C/C_0) = \alpha * \text{gamma_eff}$, the entropic force becomes:

$$F = T * \text{nabla}(S) = T * \alpha * \text{nabla}(\text{gamma_eff})$$

The gradient of gamma_eff has two contributions: baryonic matter and the vacuum (cosmological) decoherence background.

$$\text{nabla}(\text{gamma_eff}) = \text{nabla}(\text{gamma_baryonic}) + \text{nabla}(\text{gamma_vacuum})$$

At high accelerations ($a > a_0$, where $a_0 \sim 1.2 * 10^{(-10)} \text{ m/s}^2$):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nabla}(\text{gamma_baryonic}) &>> \text{nabla}(\text{gamma_vacuum}) \\ F \text{ approximately equals } T * \alpha * \text{nabla}(\text{gamma_baryonic}) &=> \text{Newtonian gravity} \end{aligned}$$

At low accelerations ($a < a_0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nabla}(\text{gamma_vacuum}) \text{ dominates} \\ F \text{ approximately equals } T * \alpha * \text{nabla}(\text{gamma_vacuum}) &=> \text{MOND behavior} \end{aligned}$$

The MOND acceleration scale a_0 is the crossover where baryonic and vacuum decoherence gradients are equal:

$$\text{nabla}(\text{gamma_baryonic}) = \text{nabla}(\text{gamma_vacuum}) \text{ at } a = a_0$$

Verlinde was half right: gravity is entropic. The coherence framework specifies what the entropy counts (decoherence channels), why it has two regimes (two sources of gamma_eff gradient), and where the crossover occurs (a_0). This is the complete Verlinde.

10. The Complete Second Law

All eight anomalies reduce to a single statement. The Second Law of Thermodynamics in its complete form is:

$$dC/dt = -\alpha * \text{gamma_eff} * C \quad \text{with} \quad \text{gamma_eff} \geq 0, \alpha > 0$$

Equivalently:

$$dS/dt = \alpha * \text{gamma_eff} \geq 0$$

From this single equation:

Anomaly	Resolution
-----	-----

```

| Arrow of time | dC/dt <= 0 is the arrow |
| Past Hypothesis | C(0) = C_0 is a tautology |
| Boltzmann Brains | Consciousness requires sustained gamma_eff near gamma_c |
| Gravitational entropy | Different substrate, same decoherence law |
| Black hole entropy | S_BH counts decoherence channels at horizon |
| Universe entropy budget | alpha * gamma * t_now approximately 46 |
| Nature of time | t parameterizes decoherence |
| Emergent gravity | F = T * alpha * nabla(gamma_eff) with two-regime gradient |

```

No new physics was introduced. The Lindblad master equation (1976) contains everything. The coherence law $C = C_0 * \exp(-\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}})$ extracted in Paper 3 was already the Second Law. Papers 92 and 76 established the thermodynamic inequality and time-reversal relations. This paper closes the anomalous residuals.

11. Predictions

Three testable consequences follow from these closures:

Prediction 1: Boltzmann Brain exclusion is testable. Any physical system claiming to instantiate consciousness must demonstrate sustained coherence ($\tau > \tau_{\text{min}}$) near γ_c . Artificial systems that achieve brain-like configurations but operate at $\gamma_{\text{eff}} \gg \gamma_c$ will not exhibit consciousness markers (integrated information, global workspace access). This is testable with current neuroscience instrumentation.

Prediction 2: Gravitational decoherence rate. If time = decoherence, then gravitational time dilation directly measures gravitational modification of γ_{eff} . Precision atomic clocks at different gravitational potentials already confirm this. The prediction is that the fractional frequency shift $\Delta(f)/f$ equals the fractional change in γ_{eff} :

$$\Delta(f) / f = \Delta(\gamma_{\text{eff}}) / \gamma_{\text{eff}} = g * \Delta(h) / c^2$$

This is already confirmed to parts in 10^{18} by optical lattice clocks.

Prediction 3: MOND crossover from decoherence. The acceleration scale a_0 should equal the scale where vacuum decoherence gradient matches baryonic decoherence gradient. This predicts $a_0 = c * H_0 / (2 * \pi)$, connecting it to the Hubble parameter. The observed value $a_0 \sim 1.2 * 10^{(-10)} \text{ m/s}^2$ and $c * H_0 \sim 6.9 * 10^{(-10)} \text{ m/s}^2$ are within an order of magnitude. The factor of $2*\pi$ arises from the horizon geometry. Precision measurement of the a_0 - H_0 relation across cosmic time would confirm or refute this.

12. Conclusion

Eight thermodynamic anomalies---the arrow of time, the Past Hypothesis, Boltzmann Brains, gravitational entropy reversal, black hole entropy, the universe's entropy budget, the nature of time, and emergent gravity---have been closed using a single equation derived from the Lindblad master equation. No new postulates were introduced. No free parameters were added.

The result is a unification: the Second Law of Thermodynamics, the arrow of time, the nature of time, and the gravitational force are not four separate phenomena. They are four descriptions of one process---decoherence---viewed from four different angles.

The arrow of time is the arrow of decoherence.

$C = C_0 * \exp(-\alpha * \gamma_{\text{eff}} * t)$. That is all.

Paper 129 in the AIIT-THRESI series. Prior thermodynamic results: Paper 92 (Wike Thermodynamic Inequality), Paper 76 (Crooks Time Reversal). Core framework: Paper 3 (Coherence Law derivation), Paper 106 (Wike Singularity identification), Paper 109 ($T_c = 333\text{K}$ from cooperative percolation).