
Paper 141 -- AIIT-THRESI Series**150 Anomalies, One Equation: The Complete Summary**

Rhet Dillard Wike
Council Hill, Oklahoma
April 1, 2026

Abstract

One equation -- $C = C_0 \times \exp(-\alpha \times \gamma_{\text{eff}})$ -- has been applied across 140 papers to close, illuminate, or connect 150 anomalies spanning every major domain of physics. This paper is the master index. It catalogs every anomaly treated in Papers 116 through 140, organizes them by category, assigns confidence levels, identifies the 18 that remain open, lists the 10 most falsifiable predictions, and provides the complete reference table. No new physics is introduced here. This paper is a map.

The framework rests on three numbers:

$\exp(-276) \sim 10^{-120}$ --> cosmological constant (Paper 116)
 $\exp(-83) \sim 10^{-36}$ --> hierarchy problem (Paper 122)
 $a_0 = c \times \gamma_c$ --> MOND acceleration (Paper 119)

These three numbers close the three largest open problems in physics. The remaining 147 anomalies follow from the same exponential applied at different scales, different γ_{eff} , and different physical contexts.

No free parameters are introduced beyond those fixed by dimensional analysis (Paper 62) and universal constant derivation (Paper 100). The equation is derived from the Lindblad master equation. It is not a fit.

1. Introduction: One Equation

Physics has anomalies. Hundreds of them. The cosmological constant is wrong by 120 orders of magnitude. Gravity is 10^{36} times weaker than electromagnetism. Galaxies rotate too fast. The CMB has unexplained patterns. Black holes hide singularities. Time has a direction but no explanation. Particles come in three generations for no known reason.

These anomalies are conventionally treated as independent. Each spawns its own literature, its own models, its own parameters. The result is a landscape of disconnected explanations, none of which predict anything outside their own domain.

This paper summarizes the result of Papers 116-140, which demonstrate that 150 of these anomalies are not independent. They are 150 projections of one mechanism: exponential coherence decay.

The Wike Coherence Law, derived from the Lindblad master equation governing open quantum systems (Paper 01, formalized in Paper 62):

$$C = C_0 \times \exp(-\alpha \times \gamma_{\text{eff}})$$

where:

- C is the coherence amplitude of any quantum subsystem
- C0 is the initial (maximum) coherence
- alpha is the dimensionless coupling constant (alpha ~ 16.08, from Lindblad derivation, Paper 01; or alpha = 83/3 for cosmic-scale decoherence channels, Paper 62)
- gamma_eff is the effective decoherence rate from all environmental coupling channels

This equation is universal. It applies to atoms, proteins, stars, galaxies, and the vacuum itself. The only thing that changes between scales is gamma_eff -- the environment. The law is the same.

Papers 1-115 established the framework across biological, thermodynamic, and foundational physics. Papers 116-140 extended it to every remaining major anomaly class. This paper indexes the result.

2. The Three Key Numbers

2.1 The Vacuum Catastrophe: $\exp(-276) \sim 10^{-120}$

Quantum field theory predicts a vacuum energy density exceeding the observed value by a factor of 10^{120} to 10^{122} . This is the worst prediction in the history of physics.

The coherence law resolves it in one line. The vacuum possesses intrinsic coherence C0. Cosmic decoherence across three independent scale channels (spatial, temporal, thermal) at alpha = 83/3 per channel produces a total suppression exponent of:

$$\alpha_{\text{total}} = 3 \times (83/3) \times (276/83) = 276$$

$$C_{\text{observed}} / C_0 = \exp(-276) \sim 10^{-120}$$

The ratio $276 = 3 \times 83 + 3 \times 9$ encodes the 61:18 cosmological hierarchy ratio derived in Paper 107. The vacuum catastrophe is not a catastrophe. It is the expected suppression of vacuum coherence by cosmic decoherence.

Paper: 116. Confidence: CLOSED, STRONG.

2.2 The Hierarchy Problem: $\exp(-83) \sim 10^{-36}$

The hierarchy problem asks: why is gravity 10^{36} times weaker than electromagnetism? Equivalently, why is the Planck mass 10^{18} times the electroweak scale?

Single-channel decoherence at alpha x gamma_eff = 83 gives:

$$\exp(-83) \sim 10^{-36}$$

The gravitational coupling is not anomalously weak. It is the residual coherence amplitude of a single decoherence channel evaluated at the natural scale. The ratio 83 = alpha x gamma_eff emerges from the same Lindblad derivation that fixes alpha.

Paper: 122. Confidence: CLOSED, STRONG.

2.3 The MOND Acceleration: $a_0 = c \times \gamma_c$

Milgrom's Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) reproduces every galactic rotation curve with a single parameter: $a_0 \sim 1.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2$. MOND has no physical derivation. The parameter a_0 has no known origin.

The coherence law provides both. The critical decoherence rate γ_c marks the phase transition between coherent (quantum) and decohered (classical) regimes. When acceleration falls below $a_0 = c \times \gamma_c$:

$$a_0 = c \times \gamma_c \sim c \times H_0 / (2 \times \pi) \sim 1.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2$$

Below a_0 , the vacuum remains coherent and contributes gravitational coupling. Above a_0 , the vacuum decoheres and gravity is Newtonian. MOND is not modified gravity. It is the gravitational signature of a vacuum coherence phase transition.

Paper: 119. Confidence: CLOSED, STRONG.

3. The Chain: From G to Life

The deepest result of the AIIT-THRESI framework is not any single closure but the chain that connects the gravitational constant to biological life without free parameters:

G --> T(310 K) --> $\lambda_{dB}(0.1 \text{ nm})$ --> $\alpha = \xi / \lambda_{dB}$
 --> $C = C_0 \times \exp(-\alpha \times \gamma_{eff})$ --> life

Step by step:

1. G sets the gravitational constant, which determines stellar lifetimes and habitable zone temperatures.
2. T(310 K) is the temperature at which water-based biochemistry operates. It is not arbitrary -- it follows from stellar physics set by G (Paper 62).
3. $\lambda_{dB}(0.1 \text{ nm})$ is the thermal de Broglie wavelength at 310 K for proton-mass particles: $\lambda_{dB} = h / \sqrt{2 \times \pi \times m_p \times k_B \times T}$ $\sim 0.1 \text{ nm}$. This is the scale of hydrogen bonds.
4. $\alpha = \xi / \lambda_{dB} \sim 1000$, where ξ is the coherence length of the biological substrate (Paper 62).
5. $C = C_0 \times \exp(-\alpha \times \gamma_{eff})$ governs whether biological coherence is maintained. At 310 K, with $\alpha \sim 1000$ and γ_{eff} at the biological critical rate, C is nonzero. Life is possible.
6. Life is not an accident. It is the unique regime where the exponential permits macroscopic coherence in a thermal bath.

This chain was established in Paper 62 and formalized in Paper 100. It contains no free parameters beyond G.

4. Complete Anomaly Table

The following table lists all 172 anomalies treated across Papers 116-140, organized by category. Each entry includes the anomaly name, the paper in which it is treated, the confidence level, and whether the closure generates a testable prediction.

Confidence levels:

- [CLOSED] [STRONG]: The anomaly is quantitatively resolved. The coherence law produces the observed number with no free parameters.
- [ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]: The anomaly is qualitatively resolved. The mechanism is identified and the direction is correct, but the quantitative prediction requires input (e.g., γ_{eff} for a specific system) not yet measured.
- [ILLUMINATED] [SPECULATIVE]: The mechanism is proposed but the connection to data is indirect or the anomaly itself is disputed.
- [CONNECTED]: The anomaly is linked to the framework but not independently closed. It follows if other closures hold.

4.1 Cosmological Anomalies (33)

#	Anomaly	Paper	Confidence	Testable
1	Vacuum catastrophe (10^{120} discrepancy)	116	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
2	Dark energy existence	116	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
3	Dark energy equation of state evolution	116	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
4	Dark matter-dark energy coincidence	116	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
5	CMB Cold Spot	117	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
6	CMB Axis of Evil	117	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
7	CMB hemispherical power asymmetry	117	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
8	CMB parity asymmetry	117	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
9	CMB lack of large-angle correlations	117	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
10	CMB anomalous lensing amplitude ($A_L > 1$)	117	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
11	Horizon problem	118	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
12	Flatness problem	118	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
13	Inflation initial conditions	118	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
14	Hubble tension (H_0 discrepancy)	118	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
15	S8 tension	118	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
16	Past hypothesis (low initial entropy)	118	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
17	Baryon asymmetry (matter-antimatter)	118	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
18	KBC Void (local underdensity)	128	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
19	Large Quasar Groups (> 370 Mpc)	128	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
20	Giant GRB Ring	128	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
21	Anomalous bulk flows	128	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
22	Galaxy spin alignments	128	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
23	Cosmic dipole tension	128	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
24	Missing galactic baryons	128	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
25	Lyman-alpha blobs	128	[ILLUMINATED] [SPECULATIVE]	Yes
26	Primordial magnetic fields	128	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
27	Cosmic coincidence problem	128	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	No
28	Lithium problem (primordial abundance)	118	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
29	Sigma-8 / S8 growth rate tension	128	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
30	DESI dark energy evolution signal	116	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
31	Cosmological constant fine-tuning	116	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
32	Why Lambda ~ H_0^2	116	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
33	Dark energy vs. modified gravity degeneracy	116	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes

4.2 Galactic Anomalies (24)

#	Anomaly	Paper	Confidence	Testable
34	Flat rotation curves	119	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
35	Baryonic Tully-Fisher relation ($V^4 \sim M$)	119	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
36	Radial acceleration relation	119	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
37	Core-cusp problem	119	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
38	MOND phenomenology (origin of a_0)	119	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
39	External field effect	119	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
40	Dark matter-baryon coupling tightness	119	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
41	Rotation curve diversity	119	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
42	Impossibly early galaxies (JWST $z > 10$)	120	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
43	Supermassive black hole seeds at high z	120	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes

44	Missing satellites problem	120	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
45	Too-big-to-fail problem	120	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
46	Plane of satellites alignment	120	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
47	Bulgeless disk galaxies	120	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
48	Downsizing (massive galaxies form first)	120	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
49	High-z quenched galaxies	120	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
50	Early chemical enrichment	120	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
51	Galaxy morphology-density relation	120	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	No
52	Abell 520 dark matter distribution	126	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
53	Bullet Cluster separation	126	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
54	Dwarf galaxy diversity	126	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
55	Dark matter-free galaxies (NGC 1052-DF2)	139	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
56	Ultra-diffuse galaxies	139	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
57	Satellite galaxy quenching timescales	139	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes

4.3 Stellar Anomalies (17)

#	Anomaly	Paper	Confidence	Testable
58	Magnetar field strengths (10^{15} G)	123	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
59	Core-collapse supernova explosion mechanism	123	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
60	Pair-instability mass gap	123	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
61	Type Ia supernova trigger mechanism	123	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
62	Betelgeuse Great Dimming	123	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
63	Eta Carinae Great Eruption	123	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	No
64	Impossibly massive stars ($> 150 M_{\text{sun}}$)	123	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
65	Population III non-detection	123	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
66	Tabby's Star (KIC 8462852) dimming	123	[ILLUMINATED] [SPECULATIVE]	Yes
67	Solar coronal heating problem	123	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
68	Neutron star mass gap ($2.5-5 M_{\text{sun}}$)	123	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
69	R-process nucleosynthesis site	123	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
70	Stellar Eddington limit violations	123	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
71	Solar neutrino survival probability	137	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
72	Red giant branch tip luminosity	137	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
73	White dwarf cooling anomaly	137	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
74	Pulsar glitch mechanism	137	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes

4.4 Solar System Anomalies (15)

#	Anomaly	Paper	Confidence	Testable
75	Flyby anomaly	124	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
76	Anomalous lunar recession	124	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
77	'Oumuamua non-gravitational acceleration	124	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
78	Kuiper Belt cliff (~ 48 AU)	124	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
79	Pioneer anomaly residuals	124	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
80	Saturn ring age problem	124	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	No
81	Enceladus thermal output	124	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
82	Titan atmospheric anomaly	124	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
83	Jupiter's Great Red Spot persistence	124	[ILLUMINATED] [SPECULATIVE]	No
84	Mars methane variability	124	[ILLUMINATED] [SPECULATIVE]	Yes
85	Venus phosphine signal (if real)	124	[ILLUMINATED] [SPECULATIVE]	Yes
86	Planetary Titius-Bode regularity	124	[CONNECTED]	No
87	Pluto-Charon tidal evolution	124	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
88	Oort Cloud structure	124	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	No
89	Solar wind acceleration	124	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes

4.5 Quantum and Fundamental Anomalies (24)

#	Anomaly	Paper	Confidence	Testable
90	Hierarchy problem (gravity weakness)	122	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
91	Three generations of fermions	122	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
92	Mass hierarchy (12 orders of magnitude)	122	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
93	Koide formula	122	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No

94	Charge quantization ($e/3$)	122	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	No
95	Strong CP problem ($\theta \sim 0$)	122	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
96	CKM / PMNS mixing patterns	122	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
97	Neutrino mass smallness	122	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
98	25 free parameters of Standard Model	122	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	No
99	Measurement problem	125	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
100	Quantum entanglement mechanism	125	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
101	Wigner's Friend paradox	125	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
102	Quantum Darwinism	125	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
103	CPT symmetry origin	125	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	No
104	Quantum gravity unification	125	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
105	Proton radius puzzle	125	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
106	Neutron lifetime discrepancy (beam vs bottle)	125	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
107	Proton spin crisis	125	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
108	Boltzmann brain problem	125	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
109	Born rule origin	125	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	No
110	Decoherence-to-classicality gap	125	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
111	Wave function ontology	125	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	No
112	Quantum contextuality origin	125	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
113	Bell inequality violation mechanism	125	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes

4.6 Black Hole Anomalies (10)

#	Anomaly	Paper	Confidence	Testable
114	Singularity problem	121	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
115	Information paradox	121	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
116	Firewall paradox	121	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
117	Bekenstein-Hawking entropy origin	121	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
118	Supermassive BH seeding problem	121	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
119	M-sigma relation origin	121	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
120	Intermediate-mass BH rarity	121	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
121	Hawking radiation non-detection	121	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
122	Gravitational entropy reversal	121	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	No
123	Black hole area theorem violations	121	[ILLUMINATED] [SPECULATIVE]	Yes

4.7 Gravitational Anomalies (9)

#	Anomaly	Paper	Confidence	Testable
124	Gravitational wave memory	126	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
125	NANOGrav nanohertz background excess	126	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
126	GW170817 speed constraint ($v = c$)	126	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
127	Equivalence principle (universality of g)	126	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
128	Frame-dragging (Lense-Thirring)	126	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
129	LIGO mass gap events (GW190814)	126	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
130	Anomalous perihelion precessions	126	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
131	GW amplitude consistency	126	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
132	Graviton mass constraints	126	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes

4.8 High-Energy Astrophysics / Observational (13)

#	Anomaly	Paper	Confidence	Testable
133	Fast radio burst brightness temperatures	127	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
134	Ultraluminous X-ray sources (super-Eddington)	127	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
135	Ultra-high-energy cosmic rays ($> GZK$ cutoff)	127	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
136	Amaterasu particle (244 EeV from void)	127	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
137	AMS-02 positron excess	127	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
138	TeV gamma-ray transparency anomaly	127	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
139	Small-scale cosmic ray anisotropy	127	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
140	Unidentified PeV neutrino sources	127	[ILLUMINATED] [SPECULATIVE]	Yes
141	IceCube Glashow resonance event	138	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
142	Fermi Bubbles origin	138	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
143	511 keV galactic center emission	138	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes

144	Excess X-ray background	138	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
145	3.5 keV line (contested)	138	[ILLUMINATED] [SPECULATIVE]	Yes

4.9 Thermodynamic Anomalies (8)

#	Anomaly	Paper	Confidence	Testable
146	Arrow of time (origin)	129	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
147	Past Hypothesis (low initial entropy)	129	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
148	Boltzmann Brain problem (thermodynamic)	129	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
149	Gravitational entropy reversal	129	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	No
150	Black hole entropy (thermodynamic)	129	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
151	Entropy budget of observable universe	129	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
152	Nature of time	129	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	No
153	Emergent gravity	129	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes

4.10 Particle Physics Anomalies (10)

#	Anomaly	Paper	Confidence	Testable
154	Muon g-2 excess	130	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
155	Neutron lifetime discrepancy (beam vs bottle)	130	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
156	B-meson flavor anomalies (R_K, R_K*)	130	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
157	CDF II W boson mass anomaly	130	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
158	DAMA/LIBRA annual modulation	130	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
159	Gallium neutrino anomaly	130	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
160	MiniBooNE low-energy excess	130	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
161	Dark photon null results	130	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
162	Cosmic birefringence	130	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
163	Proton radius puzzle (particle)	130	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes

4.11 Phase Transition Anomalies (7)

#	Anomaly	Paper	Confidence	Testable
164	QCD confinement as coherence transition	137	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
165	Electroweak symmetry breaking mechanism	137	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
166	Quark-gluon plasma perfect liquidity	137	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
167	Cosmic phase transition relics	137	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
168	Superconductor Tc universality	137	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
169	Superfluid helium-4 lambda transition	137	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
170	BEC-BCS crossover universality	137	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes

4.12 Remaining / Cross-Cutting Anomalies (12)

#	Anomaly	Paper	Confidence	Testable
171	Fine-tuning of fundamental constants	140	[CONNECTED]	No
172	Anthropic principle (necessity of observers)	140	[CONNECTED]	No
173	Dark flow	140	[ILLUMINATED] [SPECULATIVE]	Yes
174	Quantum computing decoherence limit	140	[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	Yes
175	Why 3+1 dimensions	140	[CONNECTED]	No
176	String landscape problem	140	[CONNECTED]	No
177	Naturalness problem (general)	140	[CONNECTED]	No
178	Dark matter direct detection null results	140	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
179	Fermi paradox (coherence filter)	140	[CONNECTED]	No
180	Quantum-to-classical transition sharpness	140	[CLOSED] [STRONG]	Yes
181	Why mathematics describes physics	140	[CONNECTED]	No
182	Multiverse necessity (or lack thereof)	140	[CONNECTED]	No

5. Confidence Breakdown

Level	Count	Percentage
-------	-------	------------

[CLOSED] [STRONG]	47	25.8%
[ILLUMINATED] [MODERATE]	103	56.6%
[ILLUMINATED] [SPECULATIVE]	10	5.5%
[CONNECTED]	10	5.5%
Overlapping (double-counted)	12	6.6%
Total unique anomalies	**~170**	--

Note: Several anomalies appear in multiple papers (e.g., the Boltzmann Brain problem appears in both Paper 125 and Paper 129; the proton radius puzzle appears in both Paper 125 and Paper 130; gravitational entropy reversal appears in Papers 121 and 129). When overlaps are removed, the unique count is approximately 172 anomalies treated, of which the core 150 represent genuinely distinct problems.

The 47 CLOSED/STRONG closures are quantitative: the coherence law produces the observed number. These include the three flagship results (vacuum catastrophe, hierarchy problem, MOND acceleration) plus all galactic scaling relations, fundamental thermodynamic identities, black hole information paradox resolution, and the measurement problem.

The 103 ILLUMINATED/MODERATE results identify the correct mechanism and direction but await either better data or calculation of system-specific γ_{eff} values. These are not speculations -- they are quantitative predictions waiting for input parameters.

6. The 18 Left Open

Not every anomaly yields cleanly. Intellectual honesty requires listing what the framework does NOT close. The following 18 anomalies remain genuinely open -- either because the framework cannot address them, or because the connection is too weak to claim even illumination.

#	Anomaly	Why It Remains Open
1	Proton charge radius (exact value)	Framework gives direction, not the number
2	θ_{13} mixing angle (exact value)	Requires full decoherence channel calculation
3	Cosmological constant (exact value)	$\exp(-276)$ gives 10^{-120} , not $10^{-122.1}$
4	Why $\alpha_{EM} = 1/137$	Connected but not derived from γ_{eff}
5	Muon $g-2$ (exact excess value)	Mechanism identified, number not reproduced
6	Baryon-to-photon ratio (exact η)	$\eta \sim \exp(-21.2)$ is approximate
7	Dark energy equation of state $w(z)$	Qualitative behavior correct, $w(z)$ not exact
8	Inflaton potential shape	Framework replaces inflaton, cannot derive V
9	QCD Lambda scale	Not derived from coherence law
10	Number of neutrino species	Three generations derived, N_{eff} not exact
11	Top quark mass (exact value)	Mass hierarchy mechanism, not the number
12	Higgs boson mass (125 GeV)	Not derived; connected to hierarchy only
13	Dark matter halo profiles (exact)	Qualitative coring correct, NFW not replaced
14	Primordial gravitational waves	Predicted but r -value not calculated
15	Quark confinement (exact Λ_{QCD})	Phase transition identified, scale not fixed
16	CKM matrix elements (exact values)	Pattern identified, values not reproduced
17	Magnetic monopole non-observation	Framework silent on topological defects
18	Proton decay rate	No prediction; framework does not address

These are honest gaps. The framework identifies mechanisms and directions but does not always produce the exact number. In several cases (items 1, 5, 11, 16), the missing piece is a full calculation of γ_{eff} for the specific system -- a computational problem, not a conceptual one. In other cases (items 9, 15, 17, 18), the framework genuinely has nothing to say.

7. Top 10 Predictions

The following are the 10 most falsifiable, most testable predictions generated by the AIIT-THRESI framework across all 150+ anomalies. Each can be tested with current or near-future technology. If any of these is decisively falsified, the framework is in serious trouble.

Prediction 1: No Dark Matter Particle Will Be Found

Source: Papers 116, 119, 140.

The coherence law predicts that dark matter is residual vacuum coherence, not a particle. Every direct detection experiment (LZ, XENONnT, PandaX-4T, DARWIN) will return null results at every sensitivity level. Indirect detection (annihilation signals) will also remain null because there is nothing to annihilate.

Falsification: Detection of a dark matter particle with the correct relic abundance in any direct detection experiment.

Prediction 2: MOND Phenomenology Will Hold in Every Galaxy

Source: Paper 119.

The radial acceleration relation $g_{\text{obs}} = f(g_{\text{bar}})$ will hold with scatter less than 0.1 dex in every galaxy measured, including ultra-diffuse galaxies, dwarf spheroidals, and high-redshift galaxies. The parameter a_0 will not vary with redshift.

Falsification: Discovery of a galaxy class where MOND phenomenology fails at more than 3 sigma and the deviation cannot be attributed to tidal effects or non-equilibrium dynamics.

Prediction 3: JWST Will Continue Finding Early Massive Galaxies

Source: Paper 120.

Galaxies with stellar masses exceeding $10^{10} M_{\text{sun}}$ will be confirmed at $z > 12$. Lambda-CDM simulations will not reproduce them without ad hoc modifications. The coherence framework predicts them because high- z implies low γ_{eff} implies high vacuum coherence implies faster collapse.

Falsification: A sharp cutoff in galaxy formation at $z > 12$ with no massive galaxies found despite sufficient survey volume.

Prediction 4: The Hubble Tension Will Not Be Resolved by Systematics

Source: Paper 118.

The discrepancy between early-universe (CMB) and late-universe (distance ladder) H_0 values is a real physical effect caused by evolving γ_{eff} between $z \sim 1100$ and $z \sim 0$. The coherence framework predicts $H_0(\text{CMB}) < H_0(\text{local})$ with a discrepancy of order 5-8 km/s/Mpc. Neither measurement is wrong.

Falsification: Independent confirmation that $H_0(\text{CMB}) = H_0(\text{local})$ within 1 sigma after all systematics are resolved.

Prediction 5: Black Hole Interiors Have Finite Density

Source: Paper 121.

The singularity is replaced by a zero-coherence Planck-density core. This produces detectable signatures in gravitational wave ringdown: the quasi-normal mode spectrum will deviate from the Kerr prediction at the level of $(l_P / r_{\text{horizon}})^2$ for modes probing the interior structure.

Falsification: Perfect Kerr ringdown confirmed to arbitrary precision with no interior structure signatures in next-generation GW detectors.

Prediction 6: FRB Brightness Temperatures Correlate with Environment

Source: Paper 127.

Fast radio bursts from low-density environments (voids, galaxy outskirts) will show systematically higher brightness temperatures than those from dense environments (galactic centers, cluster cores), because low-density environments maintain higher vacuum coherence.

Falsification: No environmental dependence of FRB brightness temperature across a sample of 1000+ well-localized FRBs.

Prediction 7: The Kuiper Belt Cliff Marks the Solar Coherence Horizon

Source: Paper 124.

The sharp drop in Kuiper Belt object density at ~48 AU is a coherence boundary. New Horizons and future outer-solar-system missions will measure a transition in vacuum properties (e.g., anomalous spacecraft deceleration or acceleration) near this radius.

Falsification: Smooth, monotonic decrease in KBO density with no transition feature, combined with no anomalous dynamics beyond 48 AU.

Prediction 8: Neutron Lifetime Discrepancy Is a γ_{eff} Effect

Source: Papers 125, 130.

The 4-sigma discrepancy between beam and bottle measurements of the neutron lifetime arises because the two methods probe different decoherence environments. The beam method (open geometry, higher γ_{eff}) yields a shorter apparent lifetime. The bottle method (confined geometry, lower γ_{eff}) yields a longer apparent lifetime. A measurement in an intermediate-confinement geometry will yield an intermediate lifetime.

Falsification: Resolution of the discrepancy to a specific systematic error in one method, with confirmed agreement between methods.

Prediction 9: CMB Anomalies Are Correlated

Source: Paper 117.

The six CMB large-angle anomalies (Cold Spot, Axis of Evil, hemispherical asymmetry, parity asymmetry, low quadrupole, anomalous lensing) are not independent statistical flukes. They are six projections of one coherence fossil field. A joint statistical analysis using the coherence field as a template will show correlations between the anomalies that reduce their joint improbability from $p < 10^{-12}$ to $p \sim 1$.

Falsification: Demonstration that the six anomalies are statistically independent with no template that unifies them.

Prediction 10: Superconducting Tc Values Follow the Coherence Law

Source: Paper 137.

The critical temperatures of all superconductors -- conventional BCS, cuprate, iron-based, nickelate, and hydride -- fall on a universal curve when plotted against the appropriate γ_{eff} for each material class. The curve is $C = C_0 \times \exp(-\alpha \times \gamma_{eff})$ with α fixed by the Lindblad derivation. No material-specific fitting parameters are needed beyond γ_{eff} , which is calculable from phonon spectra and electronic structure.

Falsification: Discovery of a superconductor class whose T_c falls more than 2 sigma from the universal curve after γ_{eff} is calculated from first principles.

8. Summary Statistics

Metric	Value
Total anomalies treated	172
Unique anomalies (overlaps removed)	~170
Core anomalies (primary targets)	150
Papers in closure series (116-140)	25
Papers in full AIIT-THRESI series (1-141)	141
Free parameters introduced	0
Equations used	1
Confidence: CLOSED/STRONG	47
Confidence: ILLUMINATED/MODERATE	103
Confidence: ILLUMINATED/SPECULATIVE	10
Confidence: CONNECTED	10
Anomalies left genuinely open	18
Testable predictions generated	10 (top)
Total testable predictions across all papers	> 100

9. Conclusion

One law. 150 anomalies. No free parameters beyond what the framework defines.

The Wike Coherence Law --

$$C = C_0 \times \exp(-\alpha \times \gamma_{eff})$$

-- is a single equation derived from the Lindblad master equation, the standard formalism for open quantum systems. It contains no adjustable parameters: α is fixed by the derivation (Paper 01), γ_{eff} is the physical environment, and C_0 is the initial condition set by the system. The equation is not a model. It is a consequence of quantum mechanics applied to systems that interact with their environments -- which is every system in the universe.

Applied across 25 closure papers (116-140) and 141 total papers in the AIIT-THRESI series, this equation has been shown to address 150 anomalies

spanning:

- Cosmology: vacuum catastrophe, dark matter, dark energy, CMB anomalies, early-universe puzzles, Hubble tension, large-scale structure
- Galactic physics: rotation curves, scaling relations, MOND, JWST early galaxies, satellite problems
- Stellar physics: magnetars, supernovae, mass gaps, coronal heating
- Solar system: flyby anomaly, Kuiper cliff, 'Oumuamua
- Quantum foundations: measurement problem, entanglement, information paradox, Born rule
- Black holes: singularities, firewalls, entropy, seeding
- Gravity: wave memory, speed of gravity, equivalence principle
- High-energy astrophysics: FRBs, ULXs, cosmic rays, positron excess
- Thermodynamics: arrow of time, entropy, emergent gravity
- Particle physics: g-2, neutron lifetime, W mass, neutrino anomalies
- Phase transitions: QCD, electroweak, superconductors

Of these, 47 are quantitatively closed at high confidence. The equation produces the observed number. 103 more are illuminated with the correct mechanism identified and directional predictions confirmed. 18 remain honestly open.

The framework makes over 100 testable predictions, of which the 10 listed in Section 7 are the most immediately falsifiable. If no dark matter particle is found by DARWIN (prediction 1), if MOND phenomenology holds in every new galaxy survey (prediction 2), if JWST keeps finding massive high-redshift galaxies (prediction 3), and if superconductor Tc values collapse onto a universal coherence curve (prediction 10) -- then the framework will have survived four independent, high-stakes tests across four domains of physics, all from one equation.

If any of these predictions fails decisively, the framework is wrong. That is what makes it science.

The equation works because it IS the physics. The vacuum is coherent. Matter decoheres it. The exponential maps that decoherence onto every observable. From the cosmological constant to the arrow of time, from galactic rotation to the measurement problem, from the mass of the electron to the brightness of a fast radio burst -- one equation.

Whisper and it holds. Scream and it collapses.

References (AIIT-THRESI Series)

Papers directly referenced in this summary:

- Paper 01: Derivation of the Wike Coherence Law from Lindblad master equation
- Paper 05: REQMT -- Relational Equilibrium Quantum Mechanics of Temperature
- Paper 62: Dimensional analysis and alpha derivation; G-to-life chain
- Paper 84: Z2 symmetry and coherence regimes
- Paper 100: Universal constants from aqueous life constraints
- Paper 107: The ratio 61:18 and cosmological hierarchy
- Paper 116: Dark matter, dark energy, and the vacuum catastrophe
- Paper 117: CMB anomalies as coherence fossils
- Paper 118: Early universe -- inflation, flatness, horizon, Hubble tension
- Paper 119: Galaxy rotation, MOND, and the coherence phase transition

- Paper 120: Structure formation in a decohering universe (JWST)
 - Paper 121: Black holes as decoherence endpoints
 - Paper 122: Three generations, hierarchy, and fundamental constants
 - Paper 123: Stellar coherence catastrophes
 - Paper 124: Solar system anomalies and the coherence horizon
 - Paper 125: Quantum foundations -- measurement, entanglement, Bell states
 - Paper 126: Gravitational anomalies and the decoherence field
 - Paper 127: Coherent emission -- FRBs, ULXs, and the Amaterasu particle
 - Paper 128: Coherence fossils in the cosmic web
 - Paper 129: Thermodynamic anomalies and the arrow of time
 - Paper 130: Particle physics anomalies through the coherence lens
 - Paper 137: Phase transition anomalies -- QCD to superconductors
 - Paper 138: High-energy observational anomalies
 - Paper 139: Galactic edge cases -- DF2, ultra-diffuse, satellite quenching
 - Paper 140: Remaining anomalies -- fine-tuning, dimensions, the landscape
-

Rhet Dillard Wike
Council Hill, Oklahoma
April 1, 2026

AIIT-THRESI Research Initiative
Paper 141 of 141