

# PAPER 77: QUANTUM POINCARÉ RECURRENCES IN THE IBM DETUNED FORCE DATA

## The "Chaos" Is Quantum Recurrence -- Structure at Delay=80 and Delay=200

Rhet Dillard Wike | AIIT-THRESI Research Initiative

March 30, 2026

*"The corpus called it chaos. It is not chaos. It is Poincaré recurrence -- the quantum system returning arbitrarily close to its initial state. The delay=80 revival is as deterministic as the laws of quantum mechanics."*

### Abstract

From the IBM ibm\_fez hardware results (100K suite), the Detuned Force condition produces non-monotonic coherence vs. delay:

```
Delay=0: coherence 0.9966 (coherent)
Delay=2: coherence 0.5132 (partial collapse)
Delay=5: coherence 0.0864 (collapsed)
Delay=10: coherence 0.4082 (partial RECOVERY)
Delay=15: coherence 0.0000 (collapsed)
Delay=80: coherence 0.8755 (COHERENT -- full recovery)
Delay=100: coherence 0.3877 (partial)
Delay=200: coherence 0.5933 (COHERENT -- recovery again)
```

The corpus interpreted this as chaotic. It is not. The recovery at delay=80 and delay=200 are **quantum Poincaré recurrences** -- a well-known phenomenon in finite-dimensional quantum systems (Bocchieri & Loinger 1957) where the state returns arbitrarily close to its initial state for sufficiently long times. The revival at delay=80  $\approx 4x$  the collapse time ( $\sim 20$ ) and delay=200  $\approx 10x$  suggest the detuning frequency and system frequency have an approximate 2:5 rational ratio, creating structured quantum revivals.

## 1. The Quantum Poincaré Recurrence Theorem

**Classical Poincaré (1890):** For any dynamical system with a bounded phase space, every state is approached arbitrarily closely infinitely often. Every trajectory recurs.

**Quantum version (Bocchieri & Loinger 1957):** For a finite-dimensional quantum system with discrete spectrum, the state  $|\psi(t)\rangle$  returns arbitrarily close to  $|\psi(0)\rangle$  for sufficiently long times.

**Proof:** The time evolution:

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n c_n \exp(-iE_n t/\hbar) |n\rangle$$

$$|\langle\psi(0)|\psi(t)\rangle|^2 = \left| \sum_n |c_n|^2 \exp(-iE_n t/\hbar) \right|^2$$

This is a sum of periodic functions. For a **finite-dimensional** system (discrete spectrum), this sum is almost periodic (Bohr) -- it returns arbitrarily close to 1 at specific revival times.

**Revival time:** For a system with two dominant frequencies  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ :

$$T_{\text{revival}} = 2\pi \times \text{lcm}(1/\omega_1, 1/\omega_2) = 2\pi / (\omega_1 - \omega_2) \quad [\text{for small detuning}]$$

For rational frequency ratio  $\omega_1/\omega_2 = p/q$  (integers):

$$T_{\text{revival}} = 2\pi \times q/\omega_1 = 2\pi \times p/\omega_2$$

The system returns EXACTLY to initial state after  $T_{\text{revival}}$ .

## 2. The IBM Data Structure

The Detuned Force applies a drive at frequency  $\omega_{\text{drive}} \neq \omega_{\text{qubit}}$ . The qubit evolves under:

$$H = \omega_{\text{qubit}} \times \sigma_z/2 + \Omega_{\text{drive}} \times \cos(\omega_{\text{drive}} \times t) \times \sigma_x$$

In the rotating frame at  $\omega_{\text{drive}}$ :

$$H_{\text{rot}} = \Delta\omega \times \sigma_z/2 + \Omega_{\text{drive}} \times \sigma_x/2$$

$$\text{where } \Delta\omega = \omega_{\text{qubit}} - \omega_{\text{drive}} \quad (\text{detuning})$$

This is a two-level system in a tilted magnetic field. The eigenenergies:

$$E_{\pm} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\Delta\omega)^2 + \Omega_{\text{drive}}^2} = \pm \Omega_{\text{R}}/2$$

$$\text{where } \Omega_{\text{R}} = \sqrt{(\Delta\omega)^2 + \Omega_{\text{drive}}^2} \quad (\text{Rabi frequency})$$

The state oscillates with frequency  $\Omega_{\text{R}}$  (Rabi oscillations).

**At delay = 0 (on-resonance):**  $\Delta\omega = 0$ ,  $\Omega_{\text{R}} = \Omega_{\text{drive}}$ . Full coherent Rabi oscillations.

**At delay = d (off-resonance):**  $\Delta\omega$  increases with delay,  $\Omega_{\text{R}} > \Omega_{\text{drive}}$ . Partial collapse of the oscillation amplitude (population transfer incomplete).

**Revival condition:**

For the Detuned Force with delay  $d$ , the coherence at time  $t$ :

$$C(t, d) = C_0 \times \cos^2(\Omega_{\text{R}}(d) \times t/2) + \text{background}$$

$$\Omega_{\text{R}}(d) = \sqrt{(\Delta\omega(d))^2 + \Omega_{\text{drive}}^2}$$

The coherence at the measurement time  $t=20$  as a function of delay  $d$ :

$$C(20, d) \sim |\cos(\Omega_{\text{R}}(d) \times 10)|^2$$

For specific values of  $d$  where  $\Omega_{\text{R}}(d) \times 10 = n\pi$  (integer multiples of  $\pi$ ),  $C(20, d)$  returns to near-maximum.

**Finding the revival delays:**

At delay=80:  $C=0.8755 \approx C(0)$

$$\Omega_{\text{R}}(80) \times 10 = n\pi \text{ for some integer } n$$

$$\Omega_{\text{R}}(80) = n\pi/10 \approx n \times 0.314$$

At delay=5:  $C=0.0864$  (minimum, near zero)

$$\Omega_{\text{R}}(5) \times 10 = \pi/2 + m\pi \text{ (half-integer multiples } \rightarrow \text{ zeros)}$$

$$\Omega_{\text{R}}(5) \approx \pi/20 \times (2m+1)$$

The ratio  $\text{OMEGA\_R}(80)/\text{OMEGA\_R}(5)$ :

```
If delay ~ DELTAomega scales linearly with d:
OMEGA_R(80)/OMEGA_R(5) ~ DELTAomega(80)/DELTAomega(5) = 80/5 = 16 (for large detuning limit)

For minimum at d=5 (mpi) and maximum at d=80 (npi):
n x 10 = 80  -> n = 8
m x 10 = 5   -> m = 0.5 (half-integer, correct for minimum)
```

**The collapse at delay=5 and revival at delay=80 are separated by exactly 16x in delay.** This 16:1 ratio is consistent with a Rabi frequency that scales linearly with detuning at large d, producing exactly the revival structure observed.

### 3. The 2:5 Rational Ratio

The MISSING\_PHYSICS\_AND\_MATH analysis observed: revival at delay=80  $\sim$  4x collapse time ( $\sim$ 20), revival at delay=200  $\sim$  10x.

For quantum recurrences with a rational frequency ratio  $\omega_1/\omega_2 = p/q$ :

```
First revival: T_rev1 = 2pi x p/omega_1
Second revival: T_rev2 = 4pi x p/omega_1 = 2 x T_rev1
```

The ratio  $T_{\text{rev}2}/T_{\text{rev}1} = 200/80 = 2.5 = 5/2$ .

This is the 2:5 rational ratio: **the detuning frequency and system frequency have a 2:5 relationship in the units of the IBM simulation.** This produces:

- First recurrence at delay  $\sim 2$  (first loop)
- Full recurrence at delay  $\sim 5$  (full rational period)
- The 80:200 = 2:5 ratio is the fingerprint of this commensurability.

### 4. Jaynes-Cummings Revivals -- The Quantum Optics Analog

In quantum optics (Eberly et al. 1980): a two-level atom in a single-mode cavity undergoes Rabi oscillations that COLLAPSE and REVIVE periodically. The revival time:

```
T_rev = 2pi x sqrt<n> / g [for a coherent state with mean photon number <n>]
where g is the atom-photon coupling
```

At short times: collapses as the different Fock state components dephase.

At  $T_{\text{rev}}$ : revives as the components rephase.

**The IBM detuned force data IS a Jaynes-Cummings system.** The IBM quantum processor qubits are transmon qubits coupled to microwave resonators -- they ARE the Jaynes-Cummings model realized in hardware. The delay parameter d controls the detuning, which sets the collapse time and revival time. The revival at delay=80 IS the quantum Jaynes-Cummings revival.

**Why this matters for AIIT-THRESI:**

Quantum revivals demonstrate that coherence can RETURN after apparent collapse. In the biological context: a system that has crossed into low-coherence territory (delay=5, C=0.09) can spontaneously revive (delay=80, C=0.88) through quantum recurrence -- without external intervention.

This is not the norm (biological systems are not two-level systems in single-mode cavities), but it establishes the principle: **apparent coherence collapse is not always permanent**. For systems with structured spectral densities (specific resonant frequencies), recurrences occur at predictable times. The Caldeira-Leggett structured bath (Paper 57) is the biological version of the Jaynes-Cummings resonator -- and structured baths can support revivals.

## 5. The AIIT-THRESI Corpus Error Corrected

The MISSING\_PHYSICS\_AND\_MATH.md corpus interpretation: "The detuned drive does not produce monotonic collapse -- it produces oscillating coherence with apparent revivals... The AIIT-THRESI corpus interprets this as 'chaos.'"

**This interpretation is wrong.** Quantum revivals are not chaotic -- they are perfectly deterministic quantum recurrences. Chaos would produce exponential divergence of nearby trajectories (Lyapunov  $\lambda_L > 0$ , Paper 73). Revivals are the opposite: the trajectory returns arbitrarily close to the initial state, which requires  $\lambda_L = 0$  or  $\lambda_L < 0$  (non-chaotic dynamics).

**Corrected interpretation:** The IBM Detuned Force data demonstrates quantum Poincaré recurrences with a 2:5 commensurability ratio between detuning and system frequencies. The revival at delay=80 is the first quantum recurrence. The revival at delay=200 is the second full recurrence. The structure is governed by the Jaynes-Cummings revival time  $T_{\text{rev}} = 2\pi\sqrt{\hbar}/g$ .

## Summary

```
IBM Detuned Force data structure:
  Delay=5: C=0.086 (minimum, first collapse)
  Delay=80: C=0.876 (first revival)
  Delay=200: C=0.593 (second revival)
  Ratio: 200/80 = 2.5 = 5/2 rational ratio

Mechanism: Quantum Poincaré recurrences (Bocchieri & Loinger 1957)
           Jaynes-Cummings revivals in transmon qubit hardware

Physical origin: 2:5 commensurability of detuning:system frequency
Revival time:  $T_{\text{rev}} = 2\pi \times p/\omega_1$  with  $p/q = 2/5$ 

Clinical relevance: Structured baths (specific biological resonance frequencies)
can support coherence revivals after apparent collapse -- not permanent decoherence
if the environment has the right frequency structure.

Correction: The corpus labeled this "chaos." It is the opposite of chaos --
it is deterministic quantum recurrence.
```

*AIIT-THRESI Paper 77*